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Pouring it on gives us the opportunity to examine how both of these statements from over sixty years ago can, in the context of contemporary painting, coexist rather than contradict one another.

The gestural abstraction as practiced in Abstract Expressionism became so closely aligned with expressive qualities that it has taken nearly five decades to grasp that a gestural mark could function as something other than an expression of feeling by an artist with an outsized ego or stand in for a Post Modernist or Post Post Modernist critical comment. Obscuring gestural abstraction for the later half of the 20th Century as a valid mode of art making was the coincidental association of gesture with "white male artists who make very large paintings" and thereby misguided connecting gestural painting with the socio-biological identity of the artist and politics of race and gender in the art market. So in recent decades, artists working within gestural abstraction were considered either invisible or misread as suspect and likely retrograde. Much of contemporary art has witnessed the dominance of criticism and theory, to the subjugation of form and material. This exhibition opens up the possibility of revisiting the Dionysian side of us that has advanced periodically to the fore in the last several hundred years. The paintings assembled here give us the sense that to make them, the artist had to leave an incredible mess on the studio floor. They engage in possibilities of excess, indulgence, and obsession.

While much contemporary painting relies on narrative and representation for metaphor, gestural abstraction necessarily foregrounds the mark or gesture as its metaphor. To comprehend such a work, the viewer has to first of all apprehend the marks, their method of application, their feel and intention, before grasping their meaning.

This current exhibition gives us the opportunity to make a careful reading of new and fresh images produced within the contemporary context, and offers a complex and nuanced picture of the vitality of the current scene.

The artists seem to have a symbiotic relationship with material. Marks are as much the product of the movement of the body as the predilection of the paint itself. The artist, while not leaving all to chance, engages in a dialogue with the material. He/she shares authority with the material, using its liquid presence as an expressive device and taking advantage of the give and take of fluidity and gravity. Gesture often fractures the surface and results in a kind of torn visual plane, as if it is a collaged space. Color and material tempt our emotions, inviting indulgence and excess.

Cathy Chou pours layers of acrylic and resin on her canvas, creating a luminous surface of fluidity and movement. The quantity and excess of material discharged onto the canvas are restrained in a kind of undertow. She engages in a conversation with the material, more or less as an equal partner. The resin and acrylic flow inevitably to the bottom, gravity asserts itself, and the point of termination bends light—perfectly. The total effect is that, within the limitless reservoir of color and light, we have serenity in abundance.

Informed by his degrees in Painting, Art History, and Religious Studies, Robert Sagerman discovers the meditative dimensions of emplacing paint on a surface. The strict structure allows profuse paint application and maximum saturation of color. This explosion in front of the surface is excessive, or would be, were it not for his process. Individual color blobs, squeezed onto the surface and terminating in pointy extensions, are almost fluorescent. His work focuses on the materiality of paint with the effect of transcending it and transforming it into a metaphysical event.

Richard Allen Morris presides with senior status as the oldest artist in the show. Of everyone, he perhaps most naturally, and with greatest ease, integrates his intention such that each gesture, color, and content function together flawlessly. His works are the smallest in the exhibition and are executed with the most economy of effort. The clarity achieved in his thick impasto abstractions speaks directly to what is both obvious and obscure.

Jacqueline Humphries brings the same directness to her marks as does Morris, but at a much larger scale. Her paintings are large, but the gesture is gauged to the size of the hand and arm.

Her work establishes an environment that is a conversation between the gestures and the spaces of their absence. Life rushes by, documented in the change between dry and liquid marks. Color is an interrupter with poetic effect.

The frenetic movements in Jill Moser’s paintings have a habit of turning in on themselves, creating an internal energy not unlike that of a molecule as imagined by high school science. Intensely colored marks bond to each other in a powerful attraction of forces that cannot escape. They swirl on the axis of their application, unchecked by rational thought.
Each work has a kind of spine holding it in space, reminding us of the basic stuff from which we are made.

Bret Slater, the youngest artist of this group, perhaps best captures the enigmatic quality of this exhibition by stating that paintings exist as “inanimate beings with living souls.” His color is unmodulated, flat, but its surface is palpable, almost sculptural. Animated edges and shapes seduce with their color. While borrowing everywhere from recent history, it is of no use in grasping his work.

The work of David Reed most clearly ties this show to the tradition of New York abstract, expressive art without being bound by its past. His surfaces seem rational and forthright, juxtapositions of large monochromatic gestures. Each movement is clearly articulated and poses on the surface without reworking or second-guessing. His color is vibrant and clean. Along with the other work in the show, his painting points a way to the future for abstraction in which the material of paint is palpably present, an equal participant in the conversation.

In the end, theory is not much help in approaching this show. A viewer has to take each piece on its own terms. Each work gives us what we need to experience it, but don’t expect to remain cool and detached. One could come to this exhibition and feel everything from the frenetic to the serene. One could sense an engagement with the universe or a retreat to within, as Mark Rothko might. Or one can look at this exhibition as Ad Reinhardt and conclude that it is just about the gesture and color as it is applied, that’s what it really is.

But fortunately, we can do both without having to choose.

Jeannette Cole
Most of the artists in Pouring it On do just this: apply paint in loose and generous ways on top of surfaces sturdy enough to support it. They also paint on. And on. Taking cues from abstract expressionism, color field, finish-fetish, hard-edge abstractionism, neo-geo and the like, these artists not only work from this lineage, they actually work upon it, as if the very layers of paint themselves embed the lineage that painting has assumed. That the doors of painting as practice and material are now so widely open is evident within the range of material processes, canny strategies, and sheer sensual pleasure presiding over this exhibition.

Matthew Kolodziej’s work germinates from familiar walks taken in and around Cleveland, Ohio—where he lives and works—usually from building sites he describes as “places of transition.” Like an architect or builder, the artist constructs these paintings in various stages that begin with photographic records of these meanderings. This photographic documentation is projected up onto canvases, traced, then systematically worked until the paintings reach a point of “fullness” – something that is not predetermined by the artist. The surfaces of his paintings are embossed the way a foot treading on the ground might leave an imprint. His paintings draw a link between the impression the weight of the human body imparts into the ground and the touch of the hand making a painted mark on the canvas. Raised lines appear as he pipes by squeezing gel medium out of bags used by pastry chefs. Into these lines he pours rivulets of paint that puddle and coalesce. Upon drying, the work is then sanded and repainted, layers simultaneously revealing and concealing. Initially applied heavy doses of paint are chipped away at, unearthed, producing an “archaeology” of painting. The resultant works echo certain works of George Condo, particularly the busy Cascading Butlers from 2011 or Black and Red Compression from 2011. Or like a “geometric” Arshile Gorky, Kolodziej clearly acknowledges the painting history within which his work is steeped while viscerally digging out his own path.

Like Kolodziej, Summer Wheat playfully applies paint to her canvases with tools traditionally used for domestic rather than artistic tasks. Despite being the only “figurative” artist in the show, her work comfortably and strategically straddles the objective and non-objective, a place where many contemporary painters willfully and successfully stake their claim. On initial viewing, Wheat’s imagery is wistful and emotive: suggestive of doll’s heads, children’s drawings. But the ramped-up paint application imparts an unexpected and urgent physicality to the work; what may be perceived as endearing is abruptly transformed into mask-like totemic or haunting symbols. Her earthy palette actually suggests mid-20th century British painters such as Leon Kossoff or Frank Auerbach, but her startling, esoteric paint application makes the work truly her own.

Leslie Wayne doesn’t just pour, she slathers, scrapes, cuts, peels, shaves, sculpts, rolls. She transforms paint into wedges, blobs, and strips that are either directly applied to a surface or made ahead of time, cut out, and adhered, at a later stage, into wet oil paint. There is an incredible heft to her work that creates a “geography” or “terrain” of paint. Wayne describes the physical swathes of material as “the color and the form becoming one and the same.” In this sense, there is a purposeful lack of illusion in her work. A self-described “process painter,” Wayne is less concerned with depictions as she is with physical, actualized descriptions of organic matter around us, everything from rock strata to fancily piped icing, from billowing fabrics to Gaudi’s architecture.

Her work nods toward some of the gestural sweeping of a Willem de Kooning, Hans Hoffman, or Franz Kline, but as she says herself, “I have focused on condensing the expansive arena of heroic painting into a tiny format, forcing a shift between size and scale, as if the world were on a thimble.” An emphasis on the sensual, even decorative, properties of paint, evident in works such as The Mouth that Roared from 2000 and the Touch of Beatriz from 1999, adds meaning to her work not apparent in the work of the aforementioned male painters. Terms such as “patter” and “decoration” can be applied to her work free of the pejorative meaning associated with them thirty years ago. Wayne’s work seems to beg of us to be seduced by its physical presence, unabashed lushness, and beauty.

Upon first viewing of a Tomory Dodge painting, one is visually arrested by a cascade of brushstrokes. In Mumblecore, from 2012, Dodge employs some strategies reminiscent of Jasper Johns’ “chevron” paintings in their vertical symmetry,
allover pattern, and composition. From 2007 until the present day, Dodge’s work has become increasingly abstract. He has also added to his repertoire of applying paint. Dodge smartly and self-consciously embraces the “smorgasbord” that is contemporary painting. In an interview from the blog, “Painter’s Table,” he states, “I like the formal tension that comes from the inclusion of different approaches to painting on one surface.” These myriad “styles” employed on the same canvas include: scraping, squeegeeing, wet-into-wet, dry brushing that resembles airbrushing, layering, pouring, and staining—all the tropes of 1960’s Modernist abstraction employed to full force yet freed from the autonomy or purity of form sought by Clement Greenberg and the painters of the time. Dodge’s work, such as the 2006 Levitate, a gigantic 44 x 168 canvas, are a kaleidoscope of vibrant and splintered marks fanning out, falling down, exploding, and swirling around the picture plane.

Looking at a Josh Smith painting is an emotively charged experience. There is a restless vitality to the way Josh Smith’s work challenges the notion of authorship through diverse imagery and styles. Sometimes intentionally clunky and raw, other times deft with a quicksilver touch, his work celebrates the possibilities of making images while highlighting the seriousness of this pursuit. One work, Untitled, a 30” x 24” oil on canvas from 2011, is a beautiful interplay of red and green complements, gestural, swirling paint, and lush, loose surfaces that recalls the German painter, Rainer Fetting’s 1978 Drummers and Guitarists and his 1981 canvas, Ricky Blau. Smith resists assigning specific narratives by leaving all of his work untitled. Like most of the painters in the show, his motivations and interests appear to lie more in the physical properties and history of painting from which he is able to draw so voraciously.

Cathy Choi draws attention to her method of pouring by enabling the lush, glossy paint mixed with latex and glue to pool and congeal at the bottom edge of the canvas. The materiality of the process or act of making is made self-evident. Her choice of resin and glue produces a surface sheen that cleverly reflects other paintings hanging in the periphery, as if the paintings themselves were looking around the gallery. Seeing paintings reflected in other paintings is also a wry commentary about the self-referential, historicized nature of painting. These slick surfaces, coupled with Choi’s “candy” palette recall the “Finish-Fetish” painters of Southern California, as well as materialism, commerce, and the fabricated forms of Donald Judd. The artist, however, cites de Kooning and the Abstract Expressionists as major influences, but lately her work seems more influenced by the natural world, in particular the movement and surface of water. Perhaps this is reflected in her choice of resin and clear-drying glue, which simulates the transparency and glass-like surface of water. Choi’s process-driven methodology recalls Wayne’s in this statement: “the process itself becomes a driving force that flows from within and becomes an innate response with no predetermined end.”

The recurring splash motif that crops up in many of Jonathan Feldschuh’s work seems to come directly from the hand dropping pigment from a huge house-painting brush loaded with paint. In fact, the image is derived from his research into various scientific experiments, such as the “Ligament Mediated Drop Formation,” or the “Mach Wave Radiation from a Jet.” The latter is described on Feldschuh’s website as being a “benchtop simulation of a problem in fluid dynamics.” Ironically, this description of the scientific experiment could actually be describing the phenomena of paint, particularly the term, “fluid dynamics.”

Feldschuh equates the material interaction in the scientific image with the painterly pour, gesture, or splash. Even though they appear to be masculine and gestural, they are actually driven by material processes found in scientific phenomena. His work is reminiscent of David Reed’s horizontal, “flattened” gestural paintings that also called into question the nature of gesture, albeit in a very different way. On first glance, other “pouring” painters like Larry Poons or Helen Frankenthaler come to mind, but Feldschuh works his images by embedding them in layers of gel medium or outlining the splashes themselves, producing a slowed-down, less “passionate” or heroic affect than these earlier Modern painters.
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